## Case 3:06-cr-00542-MHP Document 71 Filed 11/19/08 Page 1 of 3

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		ATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) No. CR 06-542 MHP
11	Plaintiff,	) ) STIPULATION AND-[PROPOSED]
12	v.	ORDER FOR CONTINUANCE OF SENTENCING
13	DAVID SIMCHO,	) Date: December 8, 2008
14 15	Defendant.	) Time: 9:00 a.m. ) Court: The Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel
16 17	The parties hereby stipulate and agree	as follows:
18	1. This matter is set for sentencing on December 8, 2008 at 9:00 a.m.;	
19	2. AFPD Elizabeth Falk is still on maternity leave. AFPD Falk gave birth on August	
20	18, 2008, and her daughter will not be three months old until November 18, 2008, at which time AFPD Falk will be able to hire childcare to attend to the cases she has retained while on maternity leave;	
21		
22		
23	3. The parties are actively engaging in discussions regarding the proper amount of	
24	restitution that Mr. Simcho will owe at the time of sentencing. This analysis	
25	requires defense counsel to re-review over 5000 pages of discovery to determine  Mr. Simcho's actual income over the four years charged in the indictment. The	
26		

1

Sentencing

issue is complicated because the government and Mr. Simcho dispute whether there are certain reimbursement checks for company expenses or other payments that may affect the ultimate calculation of restitution owed by Mr. Simcho;

- 4. Prior to sentencing, the government and the defense are endeavoring to resolve any disputes between them on this issue. The goal is to present a joint figure to the Court for restitution at sentencing. Defense counsel and government counsel are thus meeting to discuss the initial restitution figures proposed by the government on November 4, 2008 at 9:30 a.m. After this meeting, defense counsel will need to review the government's calculations vis a vis the discovery in the case, and make a counter-proposal to the government. The parties then expect further discussions to ensue and plan to arrive at a joint figure of restitution that both parties are in agreement on;
- 5. The parties anticipate that these pre-sentence negotiations will ultimately avoid the need for a lengthy restitution hearing at sentencing, and thus will ultimately serve the purposes of judicial efficiency and economy;
- 6. The parties thus jointly request that the sentencing be continued to March 2, 2009 at 9:00 a.m.;

## United States Probation Officer Cristina Carruba has been consulted about the 1 7. 2 potential change in sentencing date to March 2, 2009, and has no objection to said 3 request for a continuance. Should the Court grant the parties request, the USPO 4 requests the Court to order that the Final Presentence Report is due to the Court 5 no later than February 16, 2009. 6 7 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 8 Dated: November 3, 2008 9 ELIZABETH M. FALK 10 ASSISTANT FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER Attorney for David Simcho 11 12 Dated: November 3, 2008 13 ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 14 15 ROPOSED ORDER 16 For the reasons set forth above, the sentencing in the aforementioned matter is hereby 17 CONTINUED from December 8, 2008 to March 2, 2009 at 9:00 a.m.. The Final Presentence 18 19 Report is due to this Court no later than February 16, 2009. 20 IT IS SO ORDERED. 21 11/14/08 DATED: 22 HALL PATEL 23 24 Judge Marilyn H. Patel 25 26 06-0542 MHP; Stipulation to Continue Sentencing

Case 3:06-cr-00542-MHP Document 71 Filed 11/19/08 Page 3 of 3